UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/609,449	06/27/2003	Rafael Carbunaru	585-0031US4/05-00435-04	6613
Wong, Cabello, Lutsch, Rutherfor & Brucculer L.L.P 20333 SH 249			EXAMINER	
			KAHELIN, MICHAEL WILLIAM	
Suite 600 Houston, TX 77	7070		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3762	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/15/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/609,449	CARBUNARU ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MICHAEL KAHELIN	3762	
The MAILING DATE of this commu Period for Reply	nication appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisio after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this cor  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may nmunication. statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) No only will, by statute, cause the application to become	NICATION.  Year a reply be timely filed  HONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  HORALD (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
	2b) This action is non-final.	atters, prosecution as to the merits is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-6,8-21 and 44 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is, 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-6,8-21 and 44 is/are rej 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to resting the content of th	are withdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
	e: a) accepted or b) objected ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeying the correction is required if the drawing	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priorit</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copie</li></ul>	y documents have been received. y documents have been received ir s of the priority documents have be ional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	(PTO-948) Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application 	

Application/Control Number: 10/609,449 Page 2

Art Unit: 3762

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 2. Claims 1-6, 8-21, and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Although the Examiner was able to find support for a specific charging frequency of 127 kHz and a specific "booster" frequency of 1.2 MHz, the Examiner was unable to find support for larger range of frequencies, as claimed (i.e., any and all frequencies which are different from each other). This single embodiment does not appear to meet the "representative number of species" test of MPEP 2163(II)(A)(3)(a)(ii) to provide written description support for the broad genus of a "second frequency different from the first frequency."
- 3. Claims 1-6, 8-21, and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the charging frequency of 127 kHz and a "booster" frequency of 1.2 MH, does not reasonably provide enablement for any and all charging and booster frequencies which are different from each other. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to practice the invention commensurate in scope with

Application/Control Number: 10/609,449 Page 3

Art Unit: 3762

these claims. There is no indication that any and all possible combinations of charging and booster frequencies that are different from each other would be capable of charging a battery of an implantable device or recharge a battery depleted to zero volts.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 5/18/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argued that the exemplary frequencies of 127 kHz and 1.2 MHz provided in the originally filed disclosure (e.g., paragraph 0067) provide adequate 112, 1st paragraph support for the claimed "different frequencies" because the relevant field is a predictable art. Applicant further argued that an artisan of ordinary skill would recognize that the specificity of 127 kHz and 1.2 MHz is unimportant and would understand how to tune the receiver to any different frequencies he may like. Although the Examiner does not refute that an artisan of ordinary skill would be capable of adjusting the excitation frequency of a receiving coil, the Examiner respectfully disagrees that written description support and enablement exists for the combination of any two different frequencies because of other factors such as implantation variability and complexity of rechargeable batteries (described by Applicant in paragraph 0009 of the originally-filed disclosure) and the attainable frequency specificity of the means for switching between the "normal mode" and ZVR mode. Although Applicant argued that this is a predictable art, paragraph 0009 seems to indicate the converse; namely that the selection of frequencies is not merely arbitrary, but the frequencies are specifically chosen for the complexity and variability of the application. It would require undue experimentation to determine effective combinations from the infinite number of possible Application/Control Number: 10/609,449

Art Unit: 3762

frequencies. For instance, the exemplary 127 kHz "normal mode" and 1.2 MHz ZVR mode are about an order of magnitude different from each other. Can the opposite frequencies be used to recharge a battery depleted to zero volts and implanted deeply within a patient (i.e., a 1.2 MHz "normal mode frequency" and a 127 kHz ZVR mode)? Can the implantable device discern frequencies that are less than an order of magnitude different from each other (e.g., 127 kHz and 128 kHz, or 127 kHz and 127.00001 kHz)? These combinations currently fall squarely within the scope of the rejected claims. The Examiner's position is that an artisan of ordinary skill would not recognize that the single disclosed species provides support for the genus of any "different frequenc[ies]" because it is not unimportant which frequencies are used, and that it would require undue experimentation to find other combinations of operable frequencies (if they exist) because the potential combinations are infinte. Accordingly, the written description and enablement rejections under 35 USC 112(1) stand.

Page 4

## Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/609,449 Page 5

Art Unit: 3762

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHAEL KAHELIN whose telephone number is (571)272-8688. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (571) 272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael Kahelin/ Examiner, Art Unit 3762

/Angela D Sykes/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3762